Section 3: Monitorings

Monitorings are usually conducted twice during the course of a NSP project. For most projects, the initial monitoring visit occurs after the first construction pay request has been received. For housing projects, the first monitoring is scheduled after the first set of homes is completed. The second monitoring occurs when all activity funds have been expended.

The Commerce and/or the Kansas Housing Resource field representative will contact the administrator and/or grantee to schedule a date and time for these monitorings. To prepare for monitoring, it is recommended that the grantee review the monitoring packet in this section. This will help the grantee understand and have ready all documentation needed for the field representative to complete the packet.

After the monitoring, the field representative will write a letter to the chief elected official to summarize the findings of the visit. If deficiencies are noted in the letter, the grantee will have 30 days to resolve the findings. The grantee's administrator can help in this process. The chief elected official should respond with a cover letter that outlines all the supporting documents addressing the requested items. This information is to be mailed to the grantee's field representative who will respond in writing as to the current status of the monitoring visit.

If there are any questions about this process, contact should be made with the grantee's field representative.

Section 3: Neighborhood Stabilization Program

Kansas Department of Commerce and Kansas Housing Resources Corp

Field Staff Monitoring Packet

Grant Number:	Date Monitored:
Grantee Name:	
Mayor/Drasiding Chairman	
Address:	
Grantee/Multi-jurisdictional:	
Commencement Date:	End Date:
Project Activity:	
Interim Monitoring:	
Close-Out Monitoring:	
Special Monitoring:	
Parties Present at Monitoring:	
Name	Title

National Objective Monitoring Review

1.	LOW a.	V- AND MODERATE- INCOME BENEFIT Percentage of benefit shown on application:
	b.	Benefit is City/County Wide LMMA-Target Area LMMC-Limited Clientele
	c.	Forms reviewed to support tabulation: Census Survey Housing Application Direct Beneficiaries
	d.	Is project area acceptable:
	e.	Is survey acceptable:
	f.	Total beneficiaries:LMIBelow 50%
	g.	Attach support documentation.
2.	ELIC a.	GIBLE ACTIVITIES Established Financial Mechanisms: Yes No
		☐ Acquisition ☐ Disposition ☐ Relocation ☐ Home ownership counseling
	b.	Purchase, rehabilitate abandoned home/properties:
		☐ Acquisition ☐ Disposition ☐ Relocation ☐ Home ownership counseling
	c.	Land Bank: Yes No
		☐ Acquisition ☐ Disposition
	d.	Demolition—Blighted Structures Only:
	e.	Redevelopment:
		☐ Acquisition ☐ Disposition ☐ Relocation ☐ Improvement ☐ Demolished ☐ Vacant ☐ Counseling ☐ Home Ownership Assistance

Comments:

Financial Journal Review

Date Deposited		Amour	nt .	ERR	Date:	
Invoice Date	Vendor	Date of Check	Check Number	CDBG Amount	Local Amount	Activity Line Item
Total Grant Am	ount:		Total Lo	cal Pledged	:	
Total NSP Rece			Total Lo	cal Expende		
Total NSP Expe			Remaini	ng Balance:		
Cash Balance:						
% of Project Co						
Has the city disb	oursed NSP funds v			? Yes	☐ No	

Financial Management

1.	Wher	e are financial records kept?			
2.	Is Gra	intee: Paying employees salaries with NSP monies?	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	b.	In kind match?	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
		If yes, were time sheets reviewed?	Yes Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
		Was documentation sufficient?	Yes Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
3.	Are al	ll activities eligible?	Yes Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
4.	Is the	ledger accurate on:	Yes Yes	☐ No	
	NSP?		Yes	☐ No	
	Local	?	Yes	☐ No	
Comr	nents:				
		Program Income			
	as grant If yes	ee received any program income?	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	[1]	Source?			
	[2]	Amount to date?			

Property Management

	Vere any pieces of equipment or supplies purchased with NSP funds with a value of \$300 or reater? Yes No							
If	yes,	complete remainder of page.						
1.	WI	no is responsible for purchasing the equipment or supplies?						
2.	Do	es the grantee maintain a register for NSP funded property?		Yes	□ N	lo		N/A
		es register include: the date acquired description, location, quant position?	ity, o	eost or Yes		ano No		N/A
3.	На	s grantee been informed to notify Commerce of intention to disp \$5,000?	ose o	of equ Yes	_	t ov Vo		N/A
4.	-	personal property identified with an inventory tag or other ntrol?		Yes	□ N	No		N/A
5.	Do	es property have an inventory tag or other control?		Yes	□ N	lo		N/A
6.	Lis	st tagged items and value of each:						
	•							
	•							
	-							
Co	mm	ents:						

Environmental Review – Initial Monitoring

(Italicized items will be reviewed at time of ERR submittal. The rest will be completed at the monitoring.)

monitoring.)		
Environmental Determination:	\Box EA	☐ EIS
Were funds obligated or did work proceed prior to release of funds?	Yes	☐ No
Was there any public questions/comment?	Yes	☐ No
Were the project descriptions complete and all items disclosed during review?	Yes	☐ No
Did the grantee receive responses from all appropriate agencies?	Yes	☐ No
(Supported by agency sheet attached.)		
Date of Determination of Level of Review submitted:		
Date Letters sent to agencies and others:		
Signing date of EA or Statutory Checklists (Appendix D or E):		
For CE, was Appendix E – Other HUD Requirements submitted?	Yes	☐ No
Date signed:		
Date Notice published: End of Comment period:		
Appropriate and correct notice published?	Yes	☐ No
Date the Chief Elected Official signed RROF Certification:		
Date submitted to Field Representative: End of Comment period	od:	
If an assessment was necessary, did it meet all requirements?	Yes	☐ No
Date of Environmental Clearance (ERR):		
Is the Environmental Review Record available for the public?	Yes	☐ No
Other comments/concerns:		

Environmental Agenices

Agencies	Initial contact	Response or Clearance	Any concerns
Army Corp. of Engineers			This concerns
Dept. of AG, NRCS			
DWR			
EPA			
FEMA			
U.S. Fish & Wildlife			
Geological Survey			
Historical Society			
KCC			
KDHE			
Water Office			
Wildlife & Parks			
Local documentation			
School			
Chamber of Commerce			
County Health			
SRS			
County Engineer			
City Staff			
Police Chief			
Fire Chief			
EMS Director			
Recreation Commission			
KDOT			
Other			
Other			

Environmental Review

(Complete the following at the Final/Closeout monitoring) Were there any substantial changes in the project scope? Yes ☐ No If yes, what were the changes? Were there clearances for the scope of work change? Yes ☐ No What were the mitigation measures/or conditions of the initial clearance? Was each one addressed and how resolved? Other comments/concerns:

Procurement and Bonding

A. General Yes No N/A 1. Does sub- grantee have written local procurement procedures? Does it include: Small purchases Competitive bidding Competitive negotiations Non-competitive negotiations 2. Is there a written Code of Conduct? ☐ Yes No 3. Does this project have any conflict of interest concerns? Yes No 4. Did grantee sign any contracts prior to grant award involving NSP or local funds? Yes No **B.** Competitive Negotiation 1. Name of awarded contract: (administrator only) Pre-selected? Yes No Amount: Date: Funding Source: NSP Local 2. Name of awarded contract: (engineer/architect/other) Date: Funding Source: NSP Local Pre-selected? Yes No Design Inspection Amount: _____ Date: Funding Source: CDBG Local a. Did the grantee prepare a request for qualifications (RFQ)? No N/A | | Yes b. Did the RFQ identify all significant evaluation factors? | Yes No N/A c. Did the grantee send a RFQ to all qualified firms? Yes No N/A d. Does the file contain supporting documentation of the selection criteria for contract award? No N/A | | Yes e. Were fewer than two proposals received? Yes No N/A If yes, was it approved by Commerce? Yes No N/A f. Were unsuccessful firms notified in writing? ☐ Yes ☐ No N/A

C. Procurements Utilizing Competitive Sealed Bids (exclusive of contractual provisions discussed under "Labor Standards Monitoring Review") Name of awarded contract: (Construction) Amount: _____ Date: ____ Funding Source: NSP Local ______ \$ _____ Date: _____ Change order:# \$ Date: Change order:# 1. Was the contract awarded to the lowest responsible bidder? Yes No N/A If not, explain: 2. Does this contract require contractor to obtain bid and performance bonds? | | Yes | | No | | N/A 3. If contract price is over \$25,000, did recipient utilize competitive sealed bids? Yes No N/A 4. Where appropriate, did the bids contain language relating to labor provisions bonding and equal employment opportunity? | Yes | No N/A 5. Were efforts made to send invitations to bid to all qualified firms? Yes No N/A 6. Were descriptions of items or services to be purchased on the invitations to bid clear and without reference to specific brand requirements unless the brand was used as an example of functional or quality requirements? ☐ Yes ☐ No N/A 7. Did grantee publish an Invitation For Bid (IFB)? Yes No □ N/A 8. Was a public meeting held to open bids? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A 9. Were fewer than two bids received in any contract? No N/A | Yes 10. If one bid was received, was there a request for single bid? Yes No N/A 11. Was this one bid approved by Commerce? Yes No N/A 12. Were unsuccessful bidders notified in writing? | | Yes | | No | | N/A Other construction contracts and amount awarded on this project:

Any discrepancies in any of the above procurement?

D.	Small Purchases (less than \$25,000				
1.	Did the grantee determine that the purchase was necessary out the approved NSP program?	to carry	Yes	☐ No	N/A
2.	Is there a listing of vendors and price quotations in the file	?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
3.	Did the vendors include:				
	a. Disadvantaged Business Enterprise firms?		Yes	☐ No	N/A
	b. Persons with disabilities-owned firms?		Yes	☐ No	N/A
4.	Was a purchase order/contract issued to the most advantageous vendor?		Yes	☐ No	N/A
5.	Was it necessary to inventory tag the small purchases?		Yes	☐ No	N/A
E.	Noncompetitive Negotiation				
1.	Was the item desired available from only one source?		Yes	☐ No	N/A
2.	Did Commerce authorize noncompetitive negotiation?		Yes	☐ No	N/A
3.	Was competition determined to be inadequate after a numb of sources had been solicited?	oer	Yes	□ No	N/A
4.	Were the goods or services needed rapidly to meet a public emergency?	С	Yes	☐ No	N/A
F.	Contract Files				
1.	Are all contracts for this NSP project on file in city hall or the county courthouse?		Yes	☐ No	N/A
2.	Are files divided into categories and coded to facilitate placement and retrieval?		Yes	□ No	N/A
G.	Civil Rights Requirements in Procurement and Con	ntracting			
1.	Did the community develop lists of disadvantaged business enterprises and persons with disabilities-owned businesses as project area businesses for use in soliciting bids?		Yes	□No)
		Eng/Arch	Cons	t.	Other
2. Ta	Total number of bids received:				
	otal number of bids received from disadvantaged usiness enterprises:				
	otal number of bids received from disabled persons:				

Η. Contract Provisions: Did NSP project contracts include the following: Eng/Arch Other Const. Title VI Civil Rights Act of 1964 1. Section 109 Certifications 2. 3. Section 504 Certifications 4. Age Discrimination Act of 1975 5. Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988 Executive Order 11063 Certifications 6. 7. Kansas Act Against Discrimination Section 3 Certifications 8. 9. Executive Order 11246 Certifications 10. Restriction on lobbying contracts over \$100,000 11. Section 503 Rehabilitation Act 12. Section 912, Cranston-Gonzales National Affordable Housing Act of 1990 13. Davis-Bacon Copeland Anti-Kickback 14. **Contract Work Hours** 15. I. Section 3 - What Section 3 efforts were made (contracts over \$100,000/local recruiting, soliciting, employment and training)? 1. Is Section 3 in the Spec Book? Yes No Did grantee provide public notice? ☐ Yes ☐ No 2. Date: Is it prior to bidding? Yes No 3. Did contractor complete Section 3 Plan? | Yes | No 4. Did contractor submit Tables C & D at close-out? Yes No Number of new hires: a. Number of Section 3 hires: b. Number of trainers: c. d. Number of hours worked by all staff: Number of hours worked by Section 3 staff: e. f. Number of hours worked by trainers:

Comments on Deficiencies:

Labor Standards

1.	Does the grantee maintain a labor standards file?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
2.	Was contractor(s)/subcontractor(s) eligibility verified in all contracts?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
3.	Were wage rates requested prior to contract solicitation?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
4.	Date wage rates sent by Commerce:Wage Rate#:	D	ated:	
5.	Date of bid opening:			
6.	Date of 10 day confirmation requested:			
7.	If yes, did grantee issue an addendum?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
8.	Date of Notice of Start of Construction submitted:			
9.	Was a preconstruction conference held?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
10.	Does the grantee have a Labor Standards Officer?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
11.	Were payrolls submitted weekly?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
12.	Were payrolls numbered (initial, second, final)?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
13.	Were payrolls signed by employer representative?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
14.	Do the reports contain: (name, address, social security number, classif wages paid, daily number of hours worked, weekly hours worked, ded wages paid)	-	•	es of
15.	Was a signed Statement of Compliance submitted with each payroll?	Yes	No	□ N/A
16.	Are payrolls initialed and dated by the Labor Standards Officer?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
17.	Are apprentice/trainee registration records available?	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
18.	Was restitution required?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
19.	Is overtime pay correct?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
20.	Was the Labor Standards Provision present in the project specifications book?	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
Em	ployee Interviews: (G=general / S=subcontractor)			
	Company G/S # Company	y	<u>G/S</u>	<u>s</u> #
			<u> </u>	
Des	scribe any discrepancies that were noted:			

Wage Rate Compliance Review

Wage Decision Number:

Employer	Payroll #	Employee Name	Work Classification	Hourly Rate Paid	Wage Decision Rate	OK

Civil Rights and Fair Housing

AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING

1.	The city/county contact person (one who would handle any fair housing/civil r	rights comp	olaints):
2.	What action has the grantee taken to affirmatively further fair housing this cale	ndar year?	
	Are the actions up-to-date for this grant?	Yes	☐ No
3.	Does the activity inform the public?	Yes	☐ No
4.	Does the grantee have a written Civil Rights/Fair Housing complaints policy in place?	Yes	☐ No
5.	Has the city/county received any civil rights or fair housing complaints?	Yes	☐ No
	If yes, describe:		
6.	Was the Civil Rights Fair Housing Contact Person Form submitted with the first QPR?	Yes	☐ No
ΕN	MPLOYMENT		
1.	Have any city/county employees been hired as a result of the CDBG project?	Yes	☐ No
2.	If yes, were efforts made to notify minorities or indicating equal opportunity?	Yes	☐ No
3.	Were there any indicators of discriminatory hiring practices?	Yes	☐ No
Co	omments:		

Acquisition

1.	Has any acquisition been completed?			Yes No
2.	Date current acquisition report filed with Commerce	e:		_
3.	Number of transactions completed:	Remaining:		
		F	Land Acquisition	Permanent Easement
4.	Did owner receive written notice of grantee's intent to acquire property?	Yes	□ No □ N/A	Yes No No
5.	Did the owner receive the HUD or Commerce brochure?	Yes	□ No □ N/A	Yes No N/A
EX	Did owner sign appraisal release waiver? EMPTIONS (if applicable) Was exemption submitted by grantee?		No	☐ Yes☐ No☐ N/A☐ Yes☐ No☐ N/A
2.	Was exemption approved/rejected by Commerce? PRAISALS Was the owner invited to accompany appraiser on inspection of property?	☐ Yes	□ No □ N/A	Yes No N/A
2.	Were properties appraised by a qualified independent appraiser?		No	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
3.	Was the appraisal reviewed by a qualified independent appraiser?	☐ Yes	□ No □ N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
	Does the appraisal provide a basis for establishing fair market value? FER TO PURCHASE Did the grantee provide a written offer to the owner?		No	☐ Yes☐ No☐ N/A☐ Yes☐ No☐ N/A
2.	Did summary statement accompany written offer?	Yes	□ No □ N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
3.	Did owner have any concerns?	Yes	□ No □ N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
	Did grantee address concerns? TTLEMENT	Yes	□ No □ N/A	Yes No No
	Did owner accept written offer?	Yes	□ No □ N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
2.	Did grantee pay incidental acquisitions expense?	Yes	□ No □ N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
3.	Was owner reimbursed for incidental expenses?	Yes	□ No □ N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
	Was deed filed with Register of Deeds? PEALS Wassan and a filed?		□ No □ N/A	Yes No N/A
	Were any appeals filed?		□ No □ N/A	Yes No N/A
	If so, were grantee determinations correct? Were express informed of right to correct to Commerce?		□ No □ N/A	Yes No NA
	Were owners informed of right to appeal to Commerce?	∐ Yes	□ N0 □ N/A	Yes No N/A
Co	mments			

Permanent Relocation

T(OTAL DISPLACEMENT:		
	ımber of persons displaced under Uniform Act:	Remaining:	
Nι	umber of persons displaced under Section 104(d):	Remaining:	
	umber of businesses, non-profit organizations and		
	rms displaced under Uniform Act:	Remaining:	
	umber of businesses, non-profit organizations and	- · ·	
tai	rms displaced under Section 104(d):	Remaining:	
T(OTAL RELOCATION:		
Nι	umber of persons relocated:		
	ımber of minorities relocated:		
	ımber of female heads of household relocated:		
Nι	umber of persons with disabilities relocated:		
N(OTIFICATION OF RIGHTS AND GENERAL ADVISOR	RY SERVICES:	
1.	Did recipient receive a 90-day written notice of eligibility		
	for relocation assistance and HUD's brochure?	Yes	No No
2.	Was recipient personally interviewed to determine relocation	n	
	needs and preferences?	☐ Yes	☐ No
2	Wan a sial samina manidada	\Box $\mathbf{v}_{\bullet \bullet}$	□ Na
3.	Were social services provided?	∐ Yes	∐ No
4.	Was there any appearance of discrimination?	Yes	☐ No
RI	EPLACEMENT HOUSING:		
1.	Has grantee prepared Relocation Plan?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	If so, was it followed:	Yes	☐ No
2	Is replacement housing unit comparable?	Yes	□No
۷٠	is replacement nousing unit comparable:		
3.	Does replacement housing unit meet standards?	Yes	☐ No
4.	Was recipient satisfied with replacement home?	Yes	☐ No
	If so, was assistance provided?	Yes	☐ No
90	-DAY NOTICE/VACATE NOTICE:		
	If a person was ordered to vacate, did he/she receive		
	proper 90-day notice?	Yes	☐ No
2	If a 90-day notice was issued, did person receive prior referr	al to	
∠.	comparable replacement housing?	Yes	□No
3.	If applicable, was a 30-day vacate notice delivered?	Yes Yes	☐ No

PΑ	YMENTS:		
	Were payment determinations correct?	Yes	☐ No
2.	Were payments made promptly, including advance payments, where appropriate (check for receipt of payment)?	Yes	☐ No
\cap (CCUPANCY AFTER ACQUISITION:		
	Was rental exceeding FMR values?	Yes	☐ No
2.	Were dwelling units maintained in safe habitable and accessible condition?	Yes	☐ No
TE	CNANTS NOT DISPLACED FROM DWELLING:		
	If temporarily relocated, was person reimbursed for out-of-pocket		
	expenses (i.e. increased housing costs and moving expenses to and from temporary unit)?	Yes	☐ No
2.	Was housing decent, safe, sanitary and accessible?	Yes	☐ No
3	Did tenant receive lease with rent and other terms and conditions in		
٥.	accordance with applicable standards?	Yes	☐ No
ΔP	PPEALS:		
	Were grantee determinations on appeals correct?	Yes	☐ No
2	Were persons whose appeal was partially or fully denied informed of		
	right to appeal to the state?	Yes	☐ No

HOUSING

 1. 2. 3. 	Νι	umber of units to be rehabilitated: umber of units rehabilitated to date: ute Commerce approved Housing Plan (HP):			
4.	Is	grantee following HP?		Yes	☐ No
5.	Is	the Housing Log updated?		Yes	☐ No
6.	Is	there any potential conflict of interest?		Yes	☐ No
	a.	Was request for waiver submitted?		Yes	☐ No
	b.	Was waiver granted?		Yes	☐ No
PR 7.		CUREMENT: te grantee approved contractor guidelines:			
8.	Ar	e contractor guidelines being followed?		Yes	☐ No
9.	W	hat date was the call for contractors completed?			
	a.	Was it publicly advertised?		Yes	☐ No
	b.	How many contractors were notified?		Yes	☐ No
	c.	Were DBE's notified?		Yes	☐ No
10.		Number of contractors on the bid list:			
11.		Number of bid rounds completed:			
		ROUND 1	ROUND 2		
		IFB Date: Bid Tour date: Bid Opening date:	IFB Date: Bid Tour date: Bid Opening date:		
12.	Ar	e all bids on file?		Yes	☐ No
13.	. W	ere the bid documents complete and meet all requ	uirements?		
	Di	d they include: general specifications, work write	e-up, LBP summary page,	Yes	☐ No
14.	. Wa	as there ever only one bid received?		Yes	☐ No
	If	so, did Commerce issue approval to award?		Yes	☐ No
15.		ere there any problems with procurement? so, what?		Yes	□ No

16. Was the contractor liability and workman's comp insurance on file?	Yes	☐ No
17. Was there Verification on Contractor Eligibility for all contractors?	Yes	☐ No
18. Was there a LSWP Certification or Supervisors Certification on file?	Yes	☐ No
19. Is a surety required from the contractor?	Yes	☐ No
a. If so, is it on file?	Yes	☐ No
b. If not, was only one payment issued?	Yes	☐ No
20. How many individual rehabilitation files were reviewed?		
21. How many rehabilitation sites were inspected?		
22. Amount of rehabilitation dollars spent on Livability \$	%	
23. Amount of rehabilitation dollars spent on Health and Safety \$	%	
24. Amount of rehabilitation dollars spent outside target area on emergencies and/or handicapped accessibility:	%	
INSPECTION/LEAD BASE PAINT (LBP)		
25. Name of HQS inspector?		
26. Name of Risk Assessor (RA)?		
27 Has the RA been certified by KDHE?	Yes	☐ No
Certification Number:		
Comments:		

INSPECTION/LEAD BASE PAINT (LBP)

1.	Date	ELBP Notice "Protect Your Family" signed	d:				
2.:	Date	e of HQS Inspection:					
3.	Date	e of RA:					
4.	Date	e of receipt of Lead Hazard Evaluation Not	ice (RA): _				
	Was	it within received within 15 business days	s?				
5.		e all children under six and women of child acity temporarily relocated during this reha					
6.	Was	it to a lead safe location?				Yes	☐ No
7.	Date	e of Final HQS Inspection:				Yes	☐ No
8.	Was	the Certificate of Completion signed by:					
	a.	Inspector:	Yes	☐ No	Date:		
	b.	Contractor	Yes	☐ No	Date:		
	c.	Homeowner	Yes	☐ No	Date:		
9.	Date	e of Clearance testing:					
10.	Noti	ce of Lead of Hazard Clearance receipt da	te:				
11.	Noti	ce of Lead Hazard Reduction receipt date:					
12.	Did	more than 15 business days elapse between	n clearance d	ate and rece	ipt date	? Yes	☐ No
13.	Did	the file clearly document receipt of all four	r LBP notice	s?		Yes	☐ No

INDIVIDUAL HOUSING REHABILITATION (if owner occupied)

1.	Owner Name:	
2.	Property Address:	
3.	Date application signed:	
4.	Verification of: a. Property ownership	☐ Yes ☐ No
	b. Paid property taxes	Yes No
	c. Paid utilities	Yes No
	d. Property insurance paid and current:	☐ Yes ☐ No
5.	LMI Verification on file?	☐ Yes ☐ No
6.	Date of verification:	
7.	Total persons in household: Total household income:	
8.	HUD Income limits: Does household income qualify?	☐ Yes ☐ No
9.	Did more than 6-months elapse from income verification? a. Bid Letting date?	Yes No
	b. Date of re-verification?	
	c. Total persons:	
	d. Total income \$	
10	. Date of Self-Help agreement (if applicable):	
11	a. If so, were all children under six given a blood test?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No
	b. Results of test:	☐ Yes ☐ No
12	. Date of Historical Society Clearance:	
13	. Property bid in which bid round?	
	. How many bids were received?	
	If only one, did Commerce approve award?	☐ Yes ☐ No
15	. Was high cost waiver required?	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If so, date approval given:	

16. Contract Execution	on Date:			
17. Was the contract s	signed by contractor, o	wner and City?	☐ Ye	es No
18. Does it include Ci	ivil Rights provisions a	and other certifications?	Ye	es 🗌 No
19. Date of Lien Prev	ention Document (Part	: 1):		
Is it complete?			Ye	es 🗌 No
20. Total Rehabilitati	on Cost \$	NSP \$I	LOCAL \$	
21. Where did Local	funds come from?			
22. Were the funds co	ollected prior to contrac	et signing?	Y6	es 🗌 No
23. Notice to Proceed	Date:	Completion Date:		
24. Does Contract or	Notice to Proceed incl	ude appropriate time frames?	Ye	es No
25. Was a time extens	sion required?		Ye	es No
26. Was time extension	on signed by contractor	r, owner and City?	Ye	es 🗌 No
27. Were there change	e orders?		☐ Ye	es 🗌 No
a. If so, was it le	gitimate?		☐ Ye	es 🗌 No
b. Was it signed	by the inspector?		☐ Ye	es 🗌 No
28. Did payment mate	ch contract amount?		Ye	es 🗌 No
29. Was more than \$1	8,000 spent on rehabil	itation activities?	Ye	es 🗌 No
30. Was more than 10	percent spent on LSW	VP?	Ye	es 🗌 No
31. Is repayment agre	ement signed and filed	1?	Ye	es 🗌 No
Date:	Book:	Pa	age Number:	
32. Was the Lien Prev	vention Document (Par	rt 2) submitted prior to final payr	ment? Ye	es 🗌 No
Was it complete v	vith release given by al	ll sub-contractors/ suppliers?	Y6	es No
SITE VISIT				
33. Housing log ident	ified: Livability or He	ealth/Safety		
34. Did the property r	neet the appropriate sta	andards?	Y \(\epsilon	es No
35. Is the owner satisf	fied with the work com	apleted at the home?	☐ Y€	es 🗌 No
36. Are the premises	free from rubbish and	debris left by the owner or contra	actor? Ye	es No

INDIVIDUAL HOUSING REHABILITATION (if rental unit)

1.	Owner Name:		
2.	Tenant's Name:		
3.	Property Address:		
4.	Date application signed?		
5.	Current Rent amount?		
6.	Verification of: a. Property ownership	Yes	☐ No
	b. Paid property taxes	Yes	☐ No
	c, Paid utilities	Yes	☐ No
	d. Property insurance paid and current:	Yes	☐ No
7.	Is Landlord (owner) LMI?	Yes	☐ No
	a. If so, is LMI Verification on file?	Yes	☐ No
	b. Date of Verification:		
	c. Total persons in Household: Total Household Income?		
	d. HUD Income limits: Does owner income qualify?	Yes	☐ No
	e. Did more than 6-months elapse from income verification? i. Bid Letting date: ii. Date of re-verification: iii. Total persons: iv. Total income \$	Yes	☐ No
8.	Is Tenant LMI?	Yes	☐ No
	a. If so, is LMI Verification on file?	Yes	☐ No
	b. Date of Verification:		
	c. Total persons in Household: Total Household Income?		
	d. HUD Income limits: Does owner income qualify?		☐ No

	e.	Did	more than 6-months elapse from income verification?	Yes	☐ No
		i.	Bid Letting date:		
		ii.	Date of re-verification:		
		iii.	Total persons:		
		iv.	Total income \$		
9.	Da	te of	Self-Help agreement (if applicable):		
10	a.]	If so,	ldren under six? were all children under six given a blood test? ts of test:	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
11.	. Da	te of	Historical Society Clearance:	_	
12	Pro	operty	bid in which bid round?		
13	Нс	w ma	any bids were received?		
	If	only o	one, did Commerce approve award?	Yes	☐ No
14.	Wa	as hig	h cost waiver required?	Yes	☐ No
	Ifs	so, da	te approval given:		
15	Co	ntrac	t Execution Date:		
16	Wa	as the	contract signed by contractor, owner and City?	Yes	☐ No
17.	Do	es it	include Civil Rights provisions and other certifications?	Yes	☐ No
18	. Da	te of	Lien Prevention Document (Part 1):	_	
	Is	it con	nplete?	Yes	☐ No
19	. То	tal Re	ehabilitation Cost \$NSP \$	LOCAL \$	
20.	If	owne	non-LMI, did they contribute 25 percent?	Yes	☐ No
21.	An	y oth	er Local funds?	Yes	☐ No
22.	. W	here d	lid Local funds come from?		
23.	W	ere th	e funds collected prior to contract signing?	Yes	☐ No
24.	. No	tice t	o Proceed Date: Completion Date:		
25.	Do	es Co	ontract or Notice to Proceed include appropriate time frames?	Yes	☐ No

26.	Was a time extension required?	Yes	☐ No
27.	Was time extension signed by contractor, owner and City?	Yes	☐ No
	If so, where did they come from?		
28.	Were there change orders?	Yes	☐ No
	a. If so, was it legitimate?	Yes	☐ No
	b. Was it signed by the inspector?	Yes	☐ No
29.	Did payment match contract amount?	Yes	☐ No
30.	Was more than \$18,000 spent on rehabilitation activities?	Yes	☐ No
31.	Was more than 10 percent spent on LSWP?	Yes	☐ No
32.	Rental Freeze agreement:		
	a. Fair market rent \$		
	b. Anti-Displacement clause:		
	c. LMI Agreement:		
33	Is repayment agreement signed and filed? Date: Book: Page Nu:	Yes Yes	□ No
34.	Was the Lien Prevention Document (Part 2) submitted prior to final payment?	Yes	☐ No
	Was it complete with release given by all sub-contractors/suppliers?	Yes	☐ No
Sľ	TE VISIT		
35.	Housing log identified: Livability or Health/Safety		
36.	Did the property meet the appropriate standards?	Yes	☐ No
37.	Is the owner satisfied with the work completed at the home?	Yes	☐ No
38.	Are the premises free from rubbish and debris left by the owner or contractor?	Yes	☐ No

DEMOLITION

1.	Has the grantee developed a demolition plan that includes, but is not li location; eligible and ineligible activities; demolition standards; applic			ea(s)
	demolition contract procedures; complaint procedures, etc.?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
	A. Is the demolition plan complete and acceptable?	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	B. Is the grantee following the demolition plan?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
	C. Date approved by Commerce:			
2.	Are demolition contracts let by unit or group?	Unit	Grou	up
3.	How are contractors selected?			
4.	Has any relocation occurred?	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	If yes, explain:			
5.	Number of properties to be demolished:			
6.	Number of properties demolished to date:			
7.	How many individual demolition files were reviewed? (attach the individual demolition file checklists to this monitoring report)		
8.	How many demolition projects were inspected?			
Со	omments:			

INDIVIDUAL DEMOLITION FILES

1.	Owner Name::						
2.	Property Address:						
3.	Total Cost: \$						
4.	Is application signed and dated?						
5.	Verification of: Property ownership: Paid property taxes: Historical society date: Right of entry Signed: Notarized Date of: Inspection: Asbestos inspection: Bid opening:						
6.	Number of bids received:						
7.	All bids on file:	Yes	☐ No				
8.	. Bid amount awarded: \$						
Ad Cit	. Contractors name: .ddress: ity, State, Zip: 0. Other bidders:						
11	1. Date of verification of contractor eligib						
	Date of Construction contract:	inty iccc	aved from C				
12.							
13.	Notice to proceed: 3. Documentation of: Construction specifications: Workers Comp insurance: Liability insurance: Contract contains all necessary Civil R	ights, etc	. informatio	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes n: ☐ Yes	☐ No☐ No☐ No☐ No☐ No		
14.	4. Date of Start of Construction:						
15.	15. Date Demolition Notification Form submitted to KDHE:						
	Was form submitted 10 working days p	orior to de	emolition?	Yes	☐ No		

MISCELLANEOUS REVIEW

1.	Did citizen participation file contain any public comments, inquiries, oproject? Were there any problems identified or	_	_	_			
	complaints received?	Yes	∐ No	□ N/A			
2.	Disclosure Report						
	If over \$200,000, is there a current NSP Disclosure Report on file with Commerce?	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A			
	Was information omitted from initial report?	Yes	☐ No	N/A			
	Have additional contracts been issued over \$50,000?	Yes	☐ No	N/A			
	If so, When were the contracts signed?		_				
	When was the Disclosure Report submitted?		_				
	Did the submittal meet the 30 day deadline?	Yes	☐ No	N/A			
	Has the match been increased by more than \$250,000 or 10 percent?						
	If so, How much?		_				
	Source:		_				
	Did the submittal meet the 30 day deadline?	Yes	☐ No	N/A			
	Has the source of funds changed?	Yes	☐ No	N/A			
	If so, please describe::						
	Did the submittal meet the 30 day deadline?	Yes	☐ No	N/A			
3.	Has grantee been informed to maintain project files for four years after completion?	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A			
4.	Has grantee entered into an M.O.U. contract?	Yes	☐ No	N/A			
	Has the contract been approved by Commerce?	Yes	☐ No	N/A			
5.	Quarterly Progress Reports up-to-date and filed timely?	Yes	☐ No	N/A			
	QPR # Date Due Date Received		On Ti	ime			
		_	Yes	□ No			
		—	∐ Yes □ Yes	∐ No □ No			
		_ [Yes	☐ No			
		L	_ Yes	∐ No			

		ere there any payments made with NSP dollars that appeared Financial Management that did not appear on the QPR?	Yes	□No	□ N/A
	We	ere all contracts reported on QPR's?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
	We	ere QPR's accurate and complete?	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	Co	mplete addressed, and NSP data recorded?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
	Die	d the Administrator do a good job of detailing:			
		Accomplishment this Quarter?	Yes	☐ No	N/A
6.	Co	Accomplishment last Quarter? nstruction Site Visit	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	a.	Are labor standards posters properly displayed?			
	b.	Was there an environmental condition or issue that was not address process?	ssed in the	environ	mental
7.		r ADA projects, do the files contain a certification by the ject architect that all activities are compliant with ADA?	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
	Is i	t dated prior to the project going out for bids?	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A
Со	mm	ents:			