

Internal Controls Job Aid for State and Local Governments

All local governments that have received Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery funds (SLFRF) from the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) will be responsible for ensuring that they establish and maintain effective internal controls that provide reasonable assurance that they are managing the federal funds in compliance with all applicable federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award. This Job Aid is meant to be a guide for understanding and developing internal controls, and is not an exhaustive list of potential internal controls.

Introduction

An Internal control is a process, carried out by an entity's oversight body, management, and other personnel that <u>provides reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of objectives</u> in effectiveness and efficiency of operations, reliability of financial reporting, and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. (See 2 CFR 200.1 definitions.) *Simply put, internal controls are the measures to ensure that the things we want to happen will happen, and the things we don't want to happen won't happen.* Waste or misuse of the federal/state/local government assets, inaccurate or incomplete information, embezzlement, and fraud can result if proper internal controls are not in place.

Characteristics of a good internal control system include:

- Continuous processes built into operations
- Processes that are accomplished by people, not only policies, procedures, and forms.
- Processes that are adaptable to the entire agency or department
- Processes that provide reasonable assurance (not absolute assurance) that assets and resources are safeguarded
- Documented processes that can be provided to auditors
- Tailored to the unique operations and degree of complexities for each entity

Federal Requirements

Internal controls are not only good practice, but are required when using federal funds. Per Uniform Grant Guidance (UGG), Section 200.303-Internal Controls, the Non-Federal Entity must:

- Establish and maintain effective internal controls--Provide reasonable assurance that the non-Federal entity is managing the Federal award in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.
- Evaluate and Monitor Compliance--Remain compliant with statutes, regulations and the terms and conditions of the Federal award.
- Take prompt action on audit findings-- Take prompt action when instances of noncompliance are identified including non-compliance identified in audit findings
- Safeguard protected personally identifiable information (PII)-- Take reasonable measures to safeguard protected personally identifiable information (PII) and other information the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity designates as sensitive consistent with applicable Federal, state, and local laws regarding privacy and obligations of confidentiality (this includes Social Security numbers, credit card numbers, etc.).

Developing Internal Controls for Grants/Internal Control Components

In general, an internal control system needs to include a documented plan of how to achieve the entity's objectives and ensure compliance (policies, processes—including approvals required).

The Committee of Sponsoring Organization's (COSO) Framework includes five components of internal control, which need to be effectively designed, documented, implemented, and monitored for an internal control system to be effective. Within these five components, consider the questions below as you are designing and documenting your internal controls.

Control Environment (tone at the top; governance structure; training and communication):

- What policies and procedures are in place to ensure the following is true?:
 - Key management responsibilities are clearly defined
 - Management is committed to adequate training for staff, adequate systems, and ethical operations
 - Management is committed to program compliance and is responsive in addressing questioned costs and/or compliance concerns
 - Staff understands how to perform their duties within the compliance parameters and feel empowered to communicate non-compliance to management
 - Governance structure that is transparent and free from conflicts of interest
 - Clear workflow for approvals and decisions while providing separation of duties where appropriate (performance, review, and recordkeeping tasks segregated)

Risk Assessment: (identify, analyze, and mitigate internal and external risks):

- What policies and procedures are in place to ensure the following is true?:
 - Organizational structure provides appropriate supervision over employees
 - Responsibilities are clearly assigned to monitor and communicate changes in laws, regulations, requirements, and policies.
 - Responsibilities are clearly assigned to monitor and maintain system access and data integrity
 - Resources and staffing are appropriately aligned with the complexity and risk for each program or project

Control Activities (controls are designed, documented, updated, and monitored):

- Are internal controls in place in a diverse range of activities such as the following?:
 - Segregation of Duties
 - Approvals and Authorizations
 - o Verifications and Reconciliations
 - Performance Metrics and Reviews
 - Security of Assets
 - Data Entry and System Access
 - Reviews of Inputs and Outputs
 - Adequate Documentation
 - Signatures to support authorizations
 - Timecards to support labor
 - Detailed receipts to support spending
 - Reports with support of review and approval by management
 - o Monitoring of Regulations and Guidance
 - Policy or Control Exception Reporting
 - o Review and Investigation for Claims of Fraud, Waste, or Abuse
 - Remediation Plans to Address Identified Control Risks

Information and Communication: (identifies, captures, and exchanges information enabling people to carry out their responsibilities):

- What are the mechanisms and frequency for communicating internally (Meetings, Manuals, Bulletin Boards, Memos, Training Materials, Surveys, Suggestion Boxes, etc.)?
- What are the mechanisms and frequency for communicating externally (Website posts, Newsletters, List Servs, Surveys, Webinars, Dashboards, etc.)?
- How are suspected improprieties reported from inside or outside of the organization?

Monitoring:(ongoing evaluation of control activities with timely remediation of deficiencies):

- What processes are in place that would identify control deficiencies
 - Reconciliations
 - Staff Feedback
 - Rotating Staff
 - Management Review of Reports
 - Sampling from Subrecipient Reports
- Who is accountable for assessing control systems with auditors and ensuring corrective actions are adequate when findings are identified?

Conclusion

As a result of increasing pressure on state and local governments, well-planned and documented internal control procedures are being recognized as becoming increasingly important in controlling local government financial operations. In addition to preventing audit findings, benefits from strong internal controls include:

- Reducing and preventing errors in a cost-effective manner
- Ensuring priority issues are identified and addressed
- Protecting employees & resources
- Providing appropriate checks and balances
- Having more efficient audits with less testing and fewer demands on staff

For further information, consult the Uniform Grant Guidance and the resources listed below:

Resources: Government Finance Officers Association, *Internal Control for Grants*, <u>Internal Control</u> for Grants (gfoa.org)

Government Accountability Office, *Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government*, GAO-14-704G, Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government (This document includes the COSO Framework.)

U.S. Health Resources and Services Administration, *Internal Controls at a Glance – Tips* for Developing Effective Internal Controls, Internal Controls at a Glance (hrsa.gov)

Office of Management and Budget, *Uniform Guidance*, 2 CFR Part 200.1 - definitions, 200.303