## ROSTER ARTIST INCLUSION S C O R I N G R U B R I C

Applications are reviewed by a peer review panel consisting of professionals with broad knowledge in the arts, community and economic development, and a chair who shall be a member of the KAC staff or commission.

## **APPLICATION REVIEW CRITERIA**

- •Artistic Quality: Artistic excellence and merit of the applicant and quality of services. This is the primary consideration for inclusion in the roster.
- •Capability and Experience: Evidence of experience and reliability. Ability of applicant for effective implementation, management, and promotion of programs.
- •Impact: Ability of applicant to impact the host community through programming activities will be considered.

Within each criterion, benchmark descriptions and corresponding point values are listed to serve as a guide in the scoring process. During the Panel Review meeting, panelists will indicate scores.

CRITERIA		POINTS POSSIBLE	SCORE				
	Exemplary	Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Needs Improvement	Insufficient Evidence		
Artistic Quality	Application provides extensive and comprehensive evidence of exemplary artistic merit.  Exemplarly work samples are included.	Application provides ample evidence of high artistic merit.  Work samples indicate high artistic quality.	Application provides sufficient evidence of acceptable artistic merit.  Work samples indicate sufficient artistic quality.	low artistic quality.	Application does not provide enough evidence to appropriately evaluate the artistic merit.  Work samples are not included or are not useful for evaluation.	40 (Enter a value between 1-20 for each criteria. 1 = lowest, 40 = highest)	
	35-40 points	30-34 points	25-29 points	19-24 points	0-18 points		
Capability	Applicant demonstrates exemplary capability to fulfill programs as described in application, and to be highly effective in implementation, management, and promotion of programs.	Applicant demonstrates high capability to fulfill programs as described in application, and to be highly effective in implementation, management, and promotion of programs.	Applicant demonstrates sufficient evidence of capability to fulfill programs as described in application, and to be highly effective in implementation, management, and promotion of programs.	Application does not provide sufficient evidence of capability to fulfill programs as described in application, and to be highly effective in implementation, management, and promotion of programs.	appropriately evaluate the	15 (Enter a value between 1-15 for each criteria. 1 = lowest, 15 = highest)	
	14-15 points	12-13 points	9-11 points	8-10 points	0-7 points		

Experience	Application provides extensive evidence of exemplary professionalism and experience in delivering the described programming. Application leaves no doubt that the applicant will conduct services in a manner that upholds the reputation of the Artist Roster.	Application provides evidence of a high degree of professionalism and experience in delivering the described programming. Application effectively demonstrates the applicant will conduct services in a manner that upholds the reputation of the Artist Roster.	Applicant demonstrates sufficient evidence of professionalism and experience in delivering the described programming. Application indicates the applicant will conduct services in a manner that upholds the reputation of the Artist Roster.	Application does not provide sufficient evidence of professionalism and experience in delivering the described programming. Application does not indicate the applicant will conduct services in a manner that upholds the reputation of the Artist Roster.	Application does not provide enough evidence to appropriately evaluate the of professionalism and experience in delivering the described programming.	15 (Enter a value between 1-15 for each criteria. 1 = lowest, 15 = highest)	
	14-15 points	12-13 points	9-11 points	8-10 points	0-7 points		
Impact	Application provides extensive evidence that the proposed programs demonstrates exemplary potential impact. Engagement activities and their artistic and/or educational goals are authentically aligned with primary program.	Application provides ample evidence that the proposed programs demonstrates strong potential impact. Engagement activities and their artistic and/or educational goals are aligned with primary program.	Application provides sufficient evidence that the proposed programs demonstrates potential impact. Engagement activities and their artistic and/or educational goals are sufficiently aligned with primary program.	Application does not provide sufficient evidence that the proposed programs demonstrate impact. Engagement activities and their artistic and/or educational goals are not aligned with primary program.	Application does not provide enough evidence to appropriately evaluate the impact of proposed services.	30 (Enter a value between 1-30 for each criteria. 1 = lowest, 30 = highest)	
	28-30 points	25-27 points	21-24 points	16-20 points	0-15 points		
Arti	Artists must receive a minimum score of 80 to be considered for addition to the roster.					Total Points	